

## SYNOPSIS

### Trial Identification and Protocol Summary

<b>Company:</b> Tibotec Pharmaceuticals Ltd. <b>Trade Name:</b> Prezista™ <b>Indication:</b> HIV-1 infection	<b>Drug Substance:</b> darunavir <b>Trial no.:</b> TMC114-C211 <b>Clinical Phase:</b> III
<b>Title:</b> A randomized, controlled, open-label trial to compare the efficacy, safety and tolerability of darunavir/rtv versus lopinavir/rtv in treatment-naïve HIV-1 infected subjects. Week 96 interim analysis.	
<b>Investigator:</b> R. Ortiz, Orlando Immunology Center, [REDACTED], USA	<b>Country:</b> Multicenter
<b>Trial Period:</b> Start: 15-Jul-2005 End: 08-May-2008 (cut-off date of Week 96 analysis)	<b>No. of Investigators:</b> 117 <b>No. of Subjects:</b> 689
<p><b>Objectives:</b> The primary objective of the trial was to demonstrate non-inferiority in virologic response (time to loss of virologic response [TLOVR]), defined as a confirmed plasma viral load of &lt; 50 copies/mL, with darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/rtv; 800 mg/100 mg q.d.) versus lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/rtv; 800 mg/200 mg total daily dose) at 48 weeks, when administered in combination with a fixed background regimen, consisting of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) and emtricitabine (FTC) in a treatment-naïve, HIV-1 infected population with a predefined delta of non-inferiority of 12%. Secondary objectives were to evaluate other virologic parameters and immunologic parameters, to compare the quality of life, resistance characteristics and to evaluate safety and tolerability over time.</p> <p>The objective of the current analysis was to compare the efficacy, safety and tolerability of DRV/rtv and LPV/rtv over 96 weeks and to investigate the safety and tolerability of DRV/rtv and LPV/rtv in the roll-over phase.</p>	
<p><b>Design:</b> Trial TMC114-C211 is a randomized, controlled (LPV/rtv), open-label Phase III trial to determine the efficacy, safety and tolerability of DRV (also known as TMC114) 800 mg, formulated as an oral tablet, and administered with a 100 mg dose of ritonavir and other antiretroviral drugs (ARVs) over a 192-week treatment period. Six hundred and sixty treatment naïve, HIV-1 infected subjects with viral load <math>\geq</math> 5000 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL were to be randomized. At baseline, eligible trial subjects started their antiretroviral therapy consisting of a protease inhibitor (randomized in a 1:1 ratio to 800/100 mg DRV/rtv q.d. or a daily dose of 800/200 mg LPV/rtv) combined with a fixed background regimen consisting of TDF and FTC. Virologic parameters, safety, tolerability, durability of efficacy, resistance characteristics, pharmacokinetics (Week 48 only), pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationships (Week 48 only), subject-reported side effects and symptoms and adherence, medical resources utilization, health related quality of life and the monitoring of potential body changes through anthropometric measurements were assessed. The trial included a screening period of approximately 14 to 28 days, and a 192 week treatment period followed by a 4-week follow-up period. Subjects meeting the per protocol defined criteria for virologic failure (DRV/rtv or LPV/rtv) or who experienced a grade 4 adverse event (AE) or confirmed grade 4 (or specific grade 3) laboratory abnormality considered at least possibly related to DRV/rtv or LPV/rtv treatment, could enter the 96-week rollover phase of the trial. In the rollover phase, subjects received subsequent antiretroviral therapy with DRV/rtv or LPV/rtv in combination with an optimized background regimen consisting of at least 2 investigator-selected ARVs. The present report describes the results of the interim Week 96 analysis. The cut-off date for this analysis was 08 May 2008, at which time all subjects had reached Week 96 of treatment or discontinued earlier.</p>	
<p><b>Subject Selection</b></p> <p><i>Inclusion Criteria</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Male or female, aged 18 years or older.</li> <li>2. Documented HIV-1 infection.</li> <li>3. Screening plasma HIV-1 RNA <math>\geq</math> 5000 copies/mL.</li> </ol>	

## Clinical Research Report Synopsis

4. Subjects qualified for treatment initiation based on the investigator's assessments and/or according to treatment guidelines.

*Note:* Most current treatment guidelines recommend considering initiation of ART when CD4+ cell counts are below 350 cells/ $\mu$ L. However, clinical situations may warrant initiating ART with CD4+ cell counts above 350 cells/ $\mu$ L. Examples of such situations would include rapidly declining CD4+ cell counts over time, high plasma viral load, history of AIDS-defining illnesses or severe symptoms of HIV infection.

5. Voluntarily signed Informed Consent Form.
6. Able to comply with protocol requirements.
7. General medical condition, in the investigator's opinion, not interfering with the assessments and the completion of the trial.

***Exclusion Criteria***

1. Presence of any currently active AIDS-defining illness (Category C conditions according to the CDC Classification System for HIV Infection 1993) with the following exceptions:
  - stable cutaneous Kaposi's sarcoma (i.e., no internal organ involvement other than oral lesions) that was unlikely to require any form of systemic therapy during the trial time period;
  - wasting syndrome.

*Note:* An AIDS defining illness not clinically stabilized for at least 30 days was considered as currently active.

*Note:* Primary and secondary prophylaxis for an AIDS-defining illness was allowed in case the medication used was not part of the disallowed medication.
2. Any condition (including but not limited to alcohol and/or drug use) which, in the investigator's opinion, could compromise the subject's safety or adherence to the trial protocol procedures.
3. Previous or current use of ARVs (including both investigational as well as commercially available ARVs indicated for the treatment of HIV-infection and ARVs for treatment of hepatitis B infection with anti-HIV activity (e.g., adefovir, lamivudine, emtricitabine)).
 

*Note:* Women who used a single dose of 200 mg of nevirapine to prevent mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) were allowed in the trial, as long as they had never received other ARVs. Women who had used zidovudine to prevent MTCT were not allowed as this could have resulted in reduced susceptibility to the fixed background regimen.

*Note:* Subjects treated for postexposure prophylaxis were not allowed.
4. Primary HIV infection.
 

*Note:* Primary or acute HIV infection is the first phase of HIV disease, occurring in the weeks immediately following infection by HIV and lasting for approximately 3 to 6 months. A viral load test at this stage will usually show extremely high levels of HIV in the blood - often higher than at any other stage of HIV infection, and may therefore not be reliable when evaluating the need for initiating antiretroviral therapy.
5. Use of any non-ARV investigational agents within 90 days prior to screening.
6. Use of disallowed concomitant therapy.
7. Life expectancy of < 6 months.
8. Pregnant or breastfeeding.
9. Female subject of childbearing potential without use of effective nonhormonal birth control methods or not willing to continue practicing these birth control methods for  $\geq$  30 days after the end of the treatment period.
 

*Note:* Hormonal based contraception may not be reliable when taking DRV, therefore to be eligible for this trial, women of childbearing potential had to either:

  - use a double barrier method to prevent pregnancy (i.e., use a condom with either diaphragm or cervical cap);
  - use hormonal based contraceptives in combination with a barrier contraceptive (i.e., male condom, diaphragm or cervical cap or female condom);
  - use an intra uterine device in combination with a barrier contraceptive (i.e., male condom, diaphragm or cervical cap or female condom);
  - be nonheterosexually active, practice sexual abstinence or have a vasectomized partner (confirmed sterile).

*Note:* Women who were postmenopausal for  $\geq$  2 years, women with total hysterectomy and women with tubal ligation were considered of nonchildbearing potential.

## Clinical Research Report Synopsis

10. Subjects with clinical or laboratory evidence of significantly decreased hepatic function or decompensation (i.e., liver insufficiency), irrespective of liver enzyme levels.

*Note:* Subjects coinfecting with chronic hepatitis B or C were allowed to enter the trial if their condition was clinically stable and not expected to require treatment during the trial period. Subjects diagnosed with acute viral hepatitis at screening were not allowed.

11. Any active clinically significant disease (e.g., cardiac dysfunction, pancreatitis, acute viral infection) or findings during screening of medical history or physical examination that, in the investigator's opinion, would compromise the subjects safety or outcome of the trial.

12. Subjects with a grade 3 or 4 laboratory abnormality as defined by the DAIDS grading tables, with the following exceptions unless clinical assessment foresaw an immediate health risk to the subject:

- pre-existing diabetes, or asymptomatic grade 3 or 4 glucose elevations;
- asymptomatic grade 3 or 4 triglyceride or cholesterol elevations.

13. Subjects with calculated creatinine clearance ( $CL_{Cr}$ ) < 70 mL/min.

14. Previously demonstrated clinically significant allergy or hypersensitivity to any of the excipients of the investigational medication (DRV) or to LPV, ritonavir, TDF or FTC.

*Note:* DRV is a sulfonamide derivative. Subjects who previously experienced a sulfonamide allergy were allowed to enter the trial. To date, no potential for cross sensitivity between drugs in the sulfonamide class and DRV has been identified in subjects participating in Phase II trials.

15. Participation in other investigational trials without prior approval of the sponsor.

#### ***Rollover Criteria***

Subjects meeting  $\geq 1$  of the following criteria were eligible for participation in the rollover phase; they had to confirm their informed consent for rollover.

1. For the DRV/rtv and LPV/rtv groups: discontinuation due to loss or lack of response. The following description applied for lack or loss of treatment response:

- Drop in viral load < 1.0  $\log_{10}$  at Week 12 that was confirmed by 2 consecutive measurements. Confirmation could be obtained by performing an unscheduled visit;
- Plasma HIV-1 RNA > 50 copies/mL at or beyond Week 24 that was confirmed by 2 consecutive measurements. Confirmation could be obtained by performing an unscheduled visit.

*Note:* Subjects rolling over due to virologic failure had to have participated in the trial for at least 12 weeks. Subjects who discontinued treatment due to virologic failure prior to Week 12, were not eligible to participate in the rollover phase unless they also experienced treatment-limiting toxicity.

*Note:* Subjects experiencing virologic failure only, could remain on their current regimen or undergo a temporary treatment interruption until the baseline visit of the rollover phase.

2. Treatment-limiting toxicities including at least one of the following specific AEs/confirmed laboratory abnormalities:

- a grade 3 or 4 cutaneous reaction/rash (according to the DAIDS scale).
- a confirmed lipase elevation of grade 3 or 4, which persisted after 14 days following the interruption of all trial medications, or if the toxicity recurred more than twice
- a confirmed recurrence of grade 3 or 4 increase in alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) after trial medication interruption because of a confirmed grade 3 increase in ALT or AST.
- a grade 4 AE or confirmed grade 4 laboratory abnormality considered at least possibly related to DRV/rtv or LPV/rtv. Exceptions were, unless clinical assessment foresaw an immediate health risk to the subject:
  - subjects with pre-existing diabetes or with non-fasted or asymptomatic glucose grade 4 elevations
  - subjects with non-fasted or asymptomatic triglyceride elevations of grade 4.

*Note:* A temporary treatment interruption of all components of the regimen was to be respected to allow resolution or that the severity decreased to grade 2 or below before starting intake of DRV/rtv or LPV/rtv. During follow-up of the abnormality, the abnormality had to be monitored and unscheduled visits could be used to assess resolution of the abnormality.

*Note:* TDF/FTC was to be continued if toxicity was unrelated to the fixed background regimen, unless virologic failure was observed and optimization of background regimen was needed.

*Note:* The dose of DRV/rtv was to be determined by reason for failure.

## Clinical Research Report Synopsis

Treatment	DRV	Ritonavir (Norvir®)	LPV/rtv (Kaletra®)
Concentration	400-mg tablet	100-mg capsule	capsule, 133.3/33.3 mg or tablet, 200/50 mg
Formulation	F021	-	-
Usage	Oral	Oral	Oral
Batch Numbers of DRV	PD1352, PD1432, PD1494, PD1647, PD1651, PD1798, PD1802, PD2081, PD2237, PD2467, PD2334, PD2337		
Dose Regimen	DRV/rtv 800/100 mg q.d.		LPV/rtv 800/200 mg q.d. or 400/100 mg b.i.d. where once daily dosing was not approved.
Duration of Treatment	max. 192 weeks		
Duration of Trial	Screening period: maximum 4 weeks; treatment period: maximum 192 weeks; follow-up period: 4 weeks		
<b>Disallowed Medication</b>	<p><i>Disallowed for all subjects from screening until the end of the treatment period:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- investigational agents (from 90 days before screening onwards);</li> <li>- experimental vaccines; approved vaccines were allowed if they were given <math>\geq 4</math> weeks before a viral load measurement.</li> <li>- all ARVs other than the trial medication with the fixed background regimen (TDF/FTC)</li> </ul> <p><i>Disallowed for all subjects from screening until baseline:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- herbal supplements: all products containing <i>Hypericum perforatum</i> (St John's Wort);</li> <li>- antibiotics: rifampin, rifapentine;</li> <li>- anticonvulsants: phenobarbital, phenytoin, carbamazepine, modafenil;</li> <li>- steroids: systemic dexamethasone (topical formulations were allowed).</li> </ul> <p><i>Disallowed for all DRV/rtv subjects from baseline until the end of the treatment period:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- stimulants: amphetamines, amphetamine derivatives;</li> <li>- herbal supplements: all products containing <i>Hypericum perforatum</i> (St John's Wort);</li> <li>- antibiotics: rifampin, rifapentine, telithromycin;</li> <li>- steroids: systemic dexamethasone (topical formulations were allowed);</li> <li>- anticonvulsants: phenobarbital, phenytoin, carbamazepine, modafenil;</li> <li>- antiarrhythmics: bepridil, flecainide, propafenone, systemic lidocaine, quinidine, mexilitine, disopyramide, amiodarone;</li> <li>- immunosuppressants: cyclosporin, rapamycin, tacrolimus, sirolimus;</li> <li>- antihistamines: astemizole, terfenadine;</li> <li>- prokinetic: cisapride</li> <li>- antipsychotics: pimozone;</li> <li>- ergot derivatives: dihydroergotamine, ergonovine, ergometrine, ergotamine, methylergonovine;</li> <li>- benzodiazepines: midazolam, triazolam;</li> <li>- narcotic analgesics: meperidine (pethidine);</li> <li>- lipid lowering agents and HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors: pravastatin, lovastatin, simvastatin;</li> <li>- antifungals: systemic use of ketoconazole, or itraconazole at <math>&gt; 200</math> mg/day</li> </ul>		

## Clinical Research Report Synopsis

<b>Assessments</b>	
<b>Efficacy</b>	
Plasma Viral Load	Samples for plasma viral load determinations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- prescreening, screening, baseline;</li> <li>- Weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84, 96, 108, 120, 132, 144, 156, 168, 180 and 192 (or early withdrawal);</li> <li>- first and second follow-up visits.</li> </ul>
Immunology	Samples for immunology assessment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- prescreening, screening, baseline;</li> <li>- Weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84, 96, 108, 120, 132, 144, 156, 168, 180 and 192 (or early withdrawal);</li> <li>- the first and second follow-up visits.</li> </ul>
Resistance Determinations	Samples for pheno- and genotype determinations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- screening, baseline;</li> <li>- Weeks 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84, 96, 108, 120, 132, 144, 156, 168, 180 and 192 (or early withdrawal);</li> <li>- both follow-up visits.</li> </ul> <p>Samples taken at Weeks 4, 8, 12, 16, 36, 60, 84, 108, 132, 156 and 180 and both follow-up visits were only analyzed when judged appropriate by the Protocol Virologist.</p> <p>Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) sample for characterization of archived viral resistance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- baseline;</li> <li>- Week 192 (or early withdrawal).</li> </ul>
Questionnaires	Only if validated translated versions were available and not for rollover subjects: <p>FAHI QoL questionnaire:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- baseline;</li> <li>- Weeks 4, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, 144, 168 and 192 (or early withdrawal).</li> </ul> <p>EQ-5D questionnaire:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- baseline;</li> <li>- Weeks 48, 96, 144 and 192 (or early withdrawal).</li> </ul> <p>M-MAS-SF questionnaire:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- baseline;</li> <li>- Weeks 4, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, 144, 168 and 192 (or early withdrawal).</li> </ul> <p>M-MASRI questionnaire:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Weeks 4, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84, 96, 108, 120, 132, 144, 156, 168, 180 and 192 (or early withdrawal).</li> </ul>
<b>Safety</b>	
Adverse Events	AEs and HIV-related events were checked at every visit and reported from screening onwards until the last trial-related activity.

## Clinical Research Report Synopsis

Clinical Laboratory	<p>Samples for hematology, biochemistry (fasted):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- screening, baseline;</li> <li>- Weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84, 96, 108, 120, 132, 144, 156, 168, 180 and 192 (or early withdrawal);</li> <li>- both follow-up visits.</li> </ul> <p>Coagulation testing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- baseline;</li> <li>- Weeks 24, 48, 96 (or early withdrawal);</li> <li>- at other visits if suspected liver dysfunction.</li> </ul> <p>Pregnancy test for female subjects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- serum test: screening;</li> <li>- urine test: baseline, Weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84, 96, 108, 120, 132, 144, 156, 168, 180 and 192 (or early withdrawal).</li> </ul> <p>Urinalysis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- screening, baseline;</li> <li>- Weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84, 96, 108, 120, 132, 144, 156, 168, 180 and 192 (or early withdrawal).</li> </ul> <p>Hepatitis A, B and C test:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- screening;</li> <li>- other visits: only if diagnosis was suspected.</li> </ul>
Cardiovascular Safety	<p>Vital signs (pulse, blood pressure):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- screening, baseline;</li> <li>- Weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84, 96, 108, 120, 132, 144, 156, 168, 180 and 192 (or early withdrawal).</li> </ul> <p>ECG readings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- screening, baseline;</li> <li>- Weeks 4, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 192 (or early withdrawal).</li> </ul>
Physical Examination	<p>Physical examination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- screening, baseline;</li> <li>- Weeks 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, 144, 168 and 192 (or early withdrawal).</li> </ul>
Anthropometric Measurements	<p>Height:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- screening, baseline</li> </ul> <p>Weight, waist and hip circumference:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- screening (weight only), baseline;</li> <li>- Weeks 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, 144, 168 and 192 (or early withdrawal).</li> </ul>
Pharmacokinetics	<p>Pharmacokinetic samples for DRV/rtv and LPV/rtv:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Weeks 4, 8, 24, 48, 72 and 96 (or early withdrawal);</li> </ul> <p>One sample was collected (except on Weeks 4 and 24) with no requirements for the time after the intake of trial medication. At Weeks 4 and 24, 2 samples were drawn: just before intake of DRV/rtv or LPV/rtv and <math>\geq 1</math> hour after drawing the first sample.</p>
<b>Statistical Methods</b>	<p>Descriptive statistics, frequency tabulations, intent-to-treat and on-protocol analysis, logistic regression model, Cox proportional hazards model, general linear longitudinal model, Kaplan-Meier curves, ANCOVA, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test, Kruskal-Wallis test, Mann-Whitney U-test.</p>

## Clinical Research Report Synopsis

**Main Features of the Subject Sample and Summary of the Results**

<b>Baseline Characteristics</b>	<b>DRV/rtv</b>	<b>LPV/rtv</b>	<b>All Subjects*</b>
Number of Subjects (M/F)	343 (239/104)	346 (241/105)	689 (480/209)
Age (years), median (range)	34.0 (18; 70)	33.0 (19; 68)	34.0 (18; 70)
Race, n (%)	342	344	686
Caucasian	137 (40.1)	153 (44.5)	290 (42.3)
Hispanic	77 (22.5)	77 (22.4)	154 (22.4)
Black	80 (23.4)	71 (20.6)	151 (22.0)
Oriental/Asian	44 (12.9)	38 (11.0)	82 (12.0)
Other	4 (1.2)	5 (1.5)	9 (1.3)
Log <sub>10</sub> plasma viral load (copies/mL), mean (SD)	4.86 (0.638)	4.84 (0.604)	4.85 (0.621)
CD4 <sup>+</sup> cell count (x 10 <sup>6</sup> /L), median (range)	228 (4; 750)	218 (2; 714)	225 (2; 750)
Known duration HIV infection (years), median (range)	1.1 (0; 22)	1.2 (0; 21)	1.1 (0; 22)
Clinical stage of HIV infection, n (%),			
A	226 (65.9)	217 (62.7)	443 (64.3)
B	91 (26.5)	95 (27.5)	186 (27.0)
C	26 (7.6)	34 (9.8)	60 (8.7)
<b>Subject Disposition</b>			
Discontinuations - Reason	59 (17.2)	81 (23.4)	140 (20.3)
Adverse event/HIV related event <sup>a</sup>	13 (3.8)	32 (9.2)	45 (6.5)
Subject lost to follow-up	18 (5.2)	11 (3.2)	29 (4.2)
Subject withdrew consent	11 (3.2)	10 (2.9)	21 (3.0)
Subject reached a virologic endpoint <sup>a</sup>	3 (0.9)	8 (2.3)	11 (1.6)
Subject noncompliant	3 (0.9)	7 (2.0)	10 (1.5)
Subject was pregnant	6 (1.7)	3 (0.9)	9 (1.3)
Subject ineligible to continue the trial	3 (0.9)	2 (0.6)	5 (0.7)
Sponsor's decision	1 (0.3)	0	1 (0.1)
Other	1 (0.3)	8 (2.3)	9 (1.3)

n = number of observations.

<sup>a</sup> Including subjects starting the rollover phase

\* On Protocol (OP) population comprised 340 subjects in the DRV/rtv group and 346 subjects in the LPV/rtv group.

## Clinical Research Report Synopsis

<b>Efficacy</b>					
<p>Consistent with the results of the Week 48 analysis, the Week 96 efficacy results of this trial demonstrated non-inferiority in confirmed virologic response (plasma viral load of &lt; 50 copies/mL) at Week 96 for DRV/rtv 800/100 mg q.d. when compared to LPV/rtv 800/200 mg total daily dose, both in combination with a fixed background regimen of TDF/FTC, in view of the predefined delta of non-inferiority of 12%. Furthermore, statistically significant superiority of DRV/rtv over LPV/rtv could be demonstrated for confirmed virologic response (viral load &lt; 50 copies/mL). The results for the primary efficacy parameter with respect to non-inferiority of DRV/rtv versus LPV/rtv were supported by those for the secondary virologic parameters. Virologic response was well sustained in both treatment groups, although the percentage of subjects with a confirmed virologic response of &lt; 50 copies/mL (undetectable) at Week 48 who remained undetectable at Week 96 was higher in the DRV/rtv group (92.0%) compared with the LPV/rtv group (86.6%).</p>					
<b>Parameter, at Week 96</b>	<b>DRV/rtv 800/100 mg q.d.</b>		<b>LPV/rtv 800/200 mg daily dose</b>		<b>Difference [95% CI] in Response</b>
<b>Primary Variable</b>	<b>N</b>		<b>N</b>		
ITT <sup>a</sup> - Viral load < 50 copies/mL, n (%)	343	271 (79.0)	346	245 (70.8)	8.2 [1.7; 14.7]
OP <sup>a</sup> - Viral load < 50 copies/mL, n (%)	340	269 (79.1)	346	245 (70.8)	8.3 [1.8; 14.8]
<b>Secondary Variables</b>	<b>N</b>		<b>N</b>		
ITT <sup>a</sup> - Viral load < 400 copies/mL, n (%)	343	285 (83.1)	346	268 (77.5)	5.6 [-0.3; 11.6]
ITT <sup>b</sup> - Change in log <sub>10</sub> viral load from baseline (copies/mL), mean (SE)	343	-2.64 (0.070)	346	-2.45 (0.075)	-0.20 [-0.40; 0.01]
ITT <sup>b</sup> - Change in CD4+ cell count from baseline (x 10 <sup>6</sup> /L), mean (SE)	342	189 (9.2)	345	194 (8.7)	-5 [-30; 20]

N = number of subjects; n = number of observations; CI = confidence interval

Populations: ITT = intent-to-treat; OP = on-protocol.

<sup>a</sup> TLOVR.

<sup>b</sup> NC = F.

<b>Resistance Determination</b>
<p>At Week 96, the percentage of virologic failures (rebounders and subjects who were never suppressed using the Time to Loss of Virologic Response (TLOVR) [non-VF censored algorithm] defined as loss of or never achieving a plasma viral load &lt; 50 copies/mL, respectively), was lower in the DRV/rtv group than in the LPV/rtv group. Out of the 343 DRV/rtv subjects, 40 (11.7%) experienced virologic failure versus 59 out of 346 (17.1%) LPV/rtv subjects. In the DRV/rtv group, 24 (7.0%) subjects were rebounders and 16 (4.7%) subjects were never suppressed. In the LPV/rtv group, 33 (9.5%) subjects were rebounders and 26 (7.5%) subjects were never suppressed. Development of mutations was assessed in the virologic failures with matching baseline/endpoint genotypic profiles (10 and 27 subjects in the DRV/rtv and LPV/rtv group, respectively). Three DRV/rtv subjects and 5 LPV/rtv subjects with developing PI resistance-associated mutations (RAMs) at endpoint were identified. There were no developing primary (major) PI mutations identified in the virologic failures from both the DRV/rtv and LPV/rtv treatment groups. All virologic failures (DRV/rtv and LPV/rtv) for which matching baseline/endpoint phenotypes were available, remained susceptible to all protease inhibitors (PIs).</p>

## Clinical Research Report Synopsis

FAHI Questionnaire	DRV/rtv 800/100 mg q.d.		LPV/rtv 800/200 mg daily dose	
	N		N	
ITT <sup>a</sup> – Change in Total FAHI Imputed Score from baseline, mean (SE)	304	11.2 <sup>c</sup> (1.36)	294	12.4 (1.45)
ITT <sup>a</sup> – Clinically meaningful difference in Total FAHI Imputed Score from baseline <sup>b</sup> , n (%)	304	119 <sup>c</sup> (39.1)	294	138 (46.9)

N = number of subjects; n = number of observations; ITT = intent-to-treat population.

<sup>a</sup> LOCF.

<sup>b</sup> Relative increase of 10%.

<sup>c</sup> Not statistically significant in pairwise comparison with LPV/rtv.

EQ-5D Questionnaire	DRV/rtv 800/100 mg q.d.		LPV/rtv 800/200 mg daily dose	
	N		N	
ITT <sup>a</sup> – Change in EQ-5D Imputed Valuation Index from baseline, mean (SE)	302	0.03 <sup>b</sup> (0.02)	296	0.06 (0.02)
ITT <sup>a</sup> – Change in EQ-5D VAS from baseline, n (%)	301	8.4 <sup>b</sup> (1.08)	299	9.5 (1.14)

N = number of subjects; n = number of observations; ITT = intent-to-treat population.

<sup>a</sup> LOCF.

<sup>b</sup> Not statistically significant in pairwise comparison with LPV/rtv.

## Clinical Research Report Synopsis

	Week 96 Analysis	
	DRV/rtv 800/100 mg q.d. N = 343	LPV/rtv 800/200 mg daily dose N = 346
<b>Safety, n (%)</b>		
<i>Mean Exposure (weeks)</i>	<i>95.0</i>	<i>91.4</i>
<b>Adverse Events, n (%)</b>		
At least 1 AE	316 (92.1)	331 (95.7)
Most common AEs <sup>a</sup>		
Diarrhea	130 (37.9)	185 (53.5)
Upper respiratory tract infection	70 (20.4)	65 (18.8)
Headache	69 (20.1)	56 (16.2)
Nausea	58 (16.9)	105 (30.3)
Nasopharyngitis	51 (14.9)	43 (12.4)
Abdominal pain	39 (11.4)	48 (13.9)
Cough	32 (9.3)	44 (12.7)
Bronchitis	30 (8.7)	39 (11.3)
Vomiting	25 (7.3)	42 (12.1)
Deaths <sup>b</sup>	1 (0.3)	5 (1.5)
At least 1 SAE	34 (9.9)	55 (15.9)
At least 1 SAE at least possibly related to the PIs <sup>c</sup>	3 (0.9)	10 (2.9)
At least 1 AE at least possibly related to the PIs <sup>c</sup>	187 (54.5)	249 (72.0)
At least 1 AE leading to permanent stop	19 (5.5)	35 (10.1)
At least 1 AE leading to permanent stop and at least possibly related to the PIs <sup>c</sup>	6 (1.7)	16 (4.6)
At least 1 ≥ grade 2 AE at least possibly related to the PIs <sup>c</sup>	80 (23.3)	119 (34.4)
At least 1 grade 3 or 4 AE	82 (23.9)	89 (25.7)
At least 1 grade 3 or 4 AE at least possibly related to the PIs <sup>c</sup>	28 (8.2)	39 (11.3)
<b>Adverse Events of Interest, n (%)</b>		
Any gastrointestinal-related AE	180 (52.5)	238 (68.8)
Any rash-related AE	62 (18.1)	55 (15.9)
Any lipid-related AE	28 (8.2)	55 (15.9)
Any liver-related AE	20 (5.8)	38 (11.0)
Any cardiac-related AE	19 (5.5)	14 (4.0)
Any bleeding-related AE	18 (5.2)	22 (6.4)
Any hematology-related AE	18 (5.2)	16 (4.6)
Any coagulation-related AE	3 (0.9)	3 (0.9)
Any glucose-related AE	11 (3.2)	4 (1.2)
Any pancreatic-related AE	8 (2.3)	9 (2.6)
Any lipodystrophy related AE	6 (1.7)	10 (2.9)

N = number of subjects; n = number of patients with observations.

<sup>a</sup> In ≥ 10% (rounded %) of subjects of any treatment group.

<sup>b</sup> None of the deaths was considered related to trial medication by the investigator

<sup>c</sup> In the opinion of the investigator

## Clinical Research Report Synopsis

<b>Clinical Laboratory</b>	<p>The majority of graded laboratory abnormalities was grade 1 or 2 in severity. Grade 2-4 abnormalities were observed in 11.1% and 11.7% of subjects in the DRV/rtv and LPV/rtv groups, respectively for ALT, and 11.4% and 10.2% of subjects in the DRV/rtv and LPV/rtv groups, respectively, for AST. Grade 2-4 increases in triglycerides were observed less frequently in the DRV/rtv group (4.4%) than in the LPV/rtv group (13.4%). Furthermore, grade 2-4 increases in total cholesterol were observed less frequently with DRV/rtv (17.6%) than with LPV/rtv (27.7%).</p> <p>The overall incidence of other laboratory abnormalities was low and generally comparable for the DRV/rtv and LPV/rtv treatment groups.</p>
<b>Cardiovascular Safety</b>	<p>Small median changes from baseline were observed for vital signs parameters in both treatment groups. None of the observed mean changes from baseline and no between-group differences for any of the vital signs parameters were considered clinically relevant.</p> <p>The QTcF abnormalities observed were generally transient occurrences, which resolved with continued dosing.</p>
<b>Other Safety Parameters</b>	<p>There were no clinically relevant changes over time in physical examination findings. For anthropometric measurements, an increase in mean weight from baseline to Week 96 was seen in both treatment groups, which was more pronounced in the DRV/rtv group; mean weight increase versus baseline was 3.6 kg in the DRV/rtv group and 2.3 kg in the LPV/rtv group.</p>

<p><b>Conclusions</b></p> <p>Consistent with the results of the analysis at 48 weeks, the Week 96 analysis demonstrated non-inferiority in confirmed virologic response (plasma viral load of &lt; 50 copies/mL) for DRV/rtv 800/100 mg q.d. when compared to LPV/rtv 800/200 mg total daily dose. Furthermore, superiority for DRV/rtv over LPV/rtv in virologic response rates for the most stringent efficacy parameter (viral load &lt; 50 copies/mL) was demonstrated. Virologic response over 96 weeks was sustained to a greater degree in the DRV/rtv group compared with the LPV/rtv group and the efficacy response (79.0% for &lt; 50 copies/mL at Week 96) observed in subjects receiving DRV/rtv 800/100 mg q.d. provides further evidence of the potency of a DRV-containing regimen in the ART-naïve patient population. The high level of absolute responses observed for subjects receiving LPV/rtv (70.8% achieving &lt; 50 copies/mL at Week 96) validate the comparator chosen. The trial included a diverse population of ART-naïve subjects representative of different ethnic backgrounds, gender and geographic regions. Results are robust in view of the low discontinuation rates and the high overall response rates in both groups (up to 79% for DRV/rtv). The virologic failure rate was lower in the DRV/rtv group (11.7%) than in the LPV/rtv group (17.1%). There were no developing primary PI mutations identified in the virologic failures of both treatment groups and all virologic failures remained susceptible to all PIs.</p> <p>The safety data confirmed that treatment with DRV/rtv 800/100 mg q.d. was generally safe and well tolerated with no new clinically relevant safety findings compared with the currently known safety profile of darunavir. A similar incidence of rash-related events was seen and a more favorable overall safety profile for DRV/rtv compared with LPV/rtv (800/200 mg total daily dose) with respect to gastrointestinal disorders and triglycerides was observed.</p>
--