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Research Proposal

Project Title

An individual patient data meta-analysis of daratumumab in the treatment of different cytogenetic subsets of multiple myeloma

Narrative Summary:

The clinical presentation and biological characteristics of multiple myeloma (MM) vary greatly. Daratumumab is an effective anti-myeloma drug that has improved outcomes in relapsed and newly diagnosed MM. But its role in high-risk MM has been unclear largely due to small numbers of such patients in individual clinical trials. Given its cost and side effects, it is important to define its role in patients with high-risk disease to optimally select and sequence therapies. We propose to perform individual patient data meta-analysis to understand the benefit of daratumumab in different cytogenetic subsets across the randomized studies available through the YODA project.

Scientific Abstract:

Background: Recurrent cytogenetic abnormalities are the mainstay of prognostic risk assessment in multiple myeloma (MM). Daratumumab is an anti-CD38 monoclonal antibody approved by the FDA for patients with both relapsed and newly diagnosed MM. However, given its cost and side effect profile, it is important to delineate its benefit in traditional as well as more recently defined adverse cytogenetic abnormalities as we try to optimally sequence therapies. Objective: To understand the benefit of daratumumab in different cytogenetic subsets.

Study Design: This is a systematic review and an individual-patient data meta-analysis to evaluate the effect of daratumumab benefit in different high risk subsets of multiple myeloma. We will perform an individual patient meta-
analysis in two stages using the estimates of effect each trial and combining these using random effects methods to derive estimates of the intervention effect.

Participants: Patients treated on three clinical trials (CASTOR, POLLUX AND MAIA) with data submitted to YODA.

Main Outcomes Measures: Progression free survival and overall survival of different cytogenetic subsets in phase 3 trials comparing backbone MM regimens with the same regimen plus daratumumab using individual patient meta analysis.

Statistical Analysis: Individual patient data meta-analysis using a two-stage approach (see more in statistical analysis plan)

**Brief Project Background and Statement of Project Significance:**

The clinical presentation, biologic behavior and outcomes of patients with multiple myeloma (MM) have great heterogeneity. Recurrent cytogenetic abnormalities are the mainstay of prognostic risk assessment. Daratumumab is an anti-CD38 monoclonal antibody which has improved the patient outcomes and approved by the FDA for patients with both relapsed and newly diagnosed MM. However, given its cost and side effect profile, it is important to delineate its benefit in traditional as well as more recently defined adverse cytogenetic abnormalities as we try to optimally sequence therapies based on disease risk and challenge the one size fits all paradigm. Unfortunately, the numbers of patients of each cytogenetic subset in different studies are too small to draw meaningful conclusions.

**Specific Aims of the Project:**

- Individual patient meta-analysis to assess the progression free and overall survival of different cytogenetic subsets in phase 3 trials comparing backbone MM regimens with the same regimen plus daratumumab, such that the comparative effectiveness between the 2 groups was primarily caused by the addition of daratumumab. The cytogenetic subsets of interest as are follows:
  - \( t(11;14) \)
  - Gain 1q/Amplification 1q
  - R-ISS defined high risk cytogenetics [\\((t(4;14), t(14;16), del 17p)\\] 
- Since the studies were not stratified by these cytogenetic subgroups, the imbalances between the arms could be addressed by multivariate analysis or propensity score to adjust for potential confounders.
- Phase 3 studies reporting comparative effectiveness data stratified by cytogenetic risk status in the primary or subgroup analysis.

**What is the purpose of the analysis being proposed? Please select all that apply.**

- New research question to examine treatment effectiveness on secondary endpoints and/or within subgroup populations
- Participant-level data meta-analysis
- Participant-level data meta-analysis using only data from YODA Project

**Research Methods**

**Data Source and Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria to be used to define the patient sample for your study:**

- **Inclusion Criteria**
  - Adult patients with diagnosis of multiple myeloma treated on a randomized phase III study of backbone regimen + daratumumab vs. backbone regimen
  - Availability of Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) data from within 90 days from enrollment of the study

- **Exclusion Criteria**
  - Patients who did not receive at least one cycle of planned therapy
  - Patients who did not have FISH data available or not performed

**Main Outcome Measure and how it will be categorized/defined for your study:**

The primary outcome is progression free survival (PFS), defined as the time from randomization to the date of first confirmed progression or date of death, whichever occurred earlier. We will quantify associations in terms of hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs. The longest available follow-up results was used to extract the summary effect.
Main Predictor/Independent Variable and how it will be categorized/defined for your study:

- The cytogenetic subsets of interest as are follows:
  - t(11;14)
  - Gain 1q/Amplification 1q
  - Revised international staging system (R-ISS) defined high risk cytogenetics [(t(4;14), t(14;16), del 17p]
- Cytogenetically defined subsets will be assigned as such irrespective of the method used in the study and the proportion of cells exhibiting the cytogenetic abnormality.

Other Variables of Interest that will be used in your analysis and how they will be categorized/defined for your study:

- Overall survival (OS), defined as the time of randomization to date of death from any cause.
- Overall response rate
- Socio-demographic characteristics of the study population including
  - Age
  - Sex
  - Race/Ethnicity. We will use a composite variable for self-reported race/ethnicity, classified as Hispanic, non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic African American, and other (Pacific Islander, American-Indian, or Alaska Native)
  - Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance score
- Disease specific characteristics including
  - M protein isotype
  - International Staging System (ISS)
  - Serum creatinine
  - Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)
  - Hemoglobin
  - Beta 2 microglobulin
  - Albumin
  - Calcium
  - Karyotype
  - Extramedullary disease

Statistical Analysis Plan:

We will use an individual meta-analysis (IPD) approach for this analysis. First the risk of bias of individual studies included in this analysis will be done using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool assessing domains including randomization sequence, allocation concealment, blinding assessment, outcome reporting as well as assessment of the quality of time to event data. Primary trial authors may be contacted for additional clarifications if necessary. We will conduct this IPD meta-analysis using a two-stage approach combining data while preserving participants’ trial membership. In the first stage, estimates of effect will be derived from the IPD for each trial and in the second stage, these are combined using random effects methods (Dersimonian and Laird) to derive estimates of the intervention effect, analogous to aggregate data meta-analysis, to account for any differences in effect across trials (heterogeneity). We will assess heterogeneity using the I² statistic and Cochrane’s Q function. Forrest plots will be used to graphically summarize the aggregate results.

Finally, we will explore whether intervention effects vary by trial or participant level characteristics using subgroup analysis, whereby intervention effects are compared between groups of trials, or meta-regression approach where the change in overall intervention effect in relation to trial characteristics is investigated.

Analysis will be done using R and STATA using the admetan package for IPD metanalysis.

Software Used:
- STATA

Project Timeline:

YODA project approval/data availability to analysis - 4 weeks
Data analysis to abstract preparation - 4 weeks
Abstract preparation to manuscript submission - 8 weeks

Dissemination Plan:

The results from the data analysis will be shared with YODA and thereafter abstract will be submitted to the Annual
Society of Hematology meeting (ASH) 2021. The manuscript will then be simultaneously be prepared for submission by 11/2021 for consideration of publication in high impact medical journals such as Jama Oncology, Leukemia or Lancet Hematology.
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