
**Data Recipient Report for the
Janssen Clinical Trial Data Set
16232411SCH301**

“A Study of Effectiveness and Safety of Paliperidone Extended-release (ER) Tablets in the
Prevention of Recurrence in Adult Patients With Schizophrenia”

Product Name	INVEGA
Active Substance	Paliperidone
Dataset Type	SDTM
Other Study Identifier	R076477SCH301
Study Code	16232411SCH301
NCT Number	NCT00086320
Reporting Effort	Final
Version	3.0
Date	April 24, 2024

Contents

- Contents** **2**

- 1 Introduction** **3**
 - 1.1 Data Set Model 3
 - 1.2 Definitions 3

- 2 Anonymization Process** **4**
 - 2.1 Use of Software 4
 - 2.2 Supporting Documentation 4
 - 2.3 Output Format of Anonymized Datasets 4
 - 2.4 Transformations 4
 - 2.5 Implemented Transformation Types 5

- 3 Conclusions** **6**

- References** **7**

- A Definitions** **8**
 - A.1 Acronyms 8
 - A.2 Identifiers 8
 - A.3 Glossary 8

- B Datasets Delivered in 16232411SCH301** **9**

1 Introduction

The purpose of this project was to perform anonymization of the Janssen 16232411SCH301 clinical trial data set.

The anonymization of this data set was performed to allow the data to be shared with external research teams. Access to clinical trial data provides opportunities to conduct further research that can help advance medical science and improve patient care. This helps ensure the data provided by study participants are used to maximum effect in the creation of knowledge and improving patient care. The data release is subject to certain criteria being met, including a requirement to effectively anonymize the data.

Statistical anonymization was used to preserve the utility required by recipients, while accounting for the context of the data sharing scenario [2]. Unlike a rules-based framework that removes dates (except years) and aggregates all ages over 89 as 90 or older, such as HIPAA Safe Harbor, this approach is adaptive to population distributions, sample size, and the desired utility of the anonymized data.

The data sharing environment and contracts in place with the data recipient are assumed to be at a level which would result in a Privacy and Security Context Assessment score of High and a Recipient Trust Context Assessment score of Medium.

This report describes the anonymization approach used for the study 16232411SCH301, based on the re-identification risk determination that was performed on the data.

1.1 Data Set Model

The data set described in this report for study 16232411SCH301 was received in the Study Data Tabulation Model (SDTM) standard. For more information on this standard see <https://www.cdisc.org/standards/foundational/sdtm>

1.2 Definitions

Definitions of key terms (such as the different types of identifiers) and acronyms are provided in Section A *Definitions*. Additional terms and definitions are provided elsewhere [1].

2 Anonymization Process

2.1 Use of Software

The analysis described in this report was performed using a re-identification risk measurement software application.

2.2 Supporting Documentation

The following documents were provided to assist with the analysis:

- 16232411SCH301 Transformation Summary
- Annotated CRF

2.3 Output Format of Anonymized Datasets

All dataset anonymization was performed within the SAS (Statistical Analysis System) native data file format (extension “.sas7bdat”). Datasets received in SAS version 5 (V5) or version 8 (V8) transport file format (extension “.xpt”) must first be converted to .sas7bdat for processing. Following de-identification, all datasets are converted from .sas7bdat to .xpt for delivery. For datasets originally received in .xpt format, this conversion should not pose a problem. However, for datasets received in non-xpt format, inherent limitations in the .xpt format may require modifications.

Based on the definition of the format, conversion of a dataset to XPT transport file format may require modification of the following in the anonymized datasets:

1. Shortening the dataset names,
2. Shortening variable names in the datasets,
3. Shortening dataset or variable labels,
4. Splitting long character values into new variables.

2.4 Transformations

In order to bring the risk of re-identification below the determined threshold, some transformations were required on the dataset. The transformations are described based on the indirect identifiers used in the risk measurement. In all cases, modifications to these indirect identifiers are applied to all other linked fields, e.g. where country is suppressed, fields containing brand- or region-specific drug names will also be suppressed as they are linked to geography.

The anonymization strategy required the following modifications to the original datasets:

Identifier	Transformation
Subject IDs (USUBJID)	Masked
Site IDs (SITEID)	Suppressed
Free-text	Suppressed
Patient dates	PHUSE shifted
Date of Birth	Suppressed

2.5 Implemented Transformation Types

The following data transformations have been applied in this dataset:

Masking Masking of the unique subject ID was performed using Format-Preserving Encryption (FPE). This type of encryption creates an encrypted value that has the same length as the original ID.

PHUSE date shifting Offset a date value according to the scheme defined in the Pharmaceutical Users Software Exchange (PHUSE) CDISC SDTM anonymization standard [3]. This scheme determines a delta for each patient based on a difference between a date in the trial available for all patients (in this case the first visit date) and an anchor date (in this case, 13 April 2004).

Suppression The original value is replaced with an empty cell. The following type of suppression was applied for this project:

global suppression (GS): Occurs when risk measurement determines that no suitable generalized value can be retained and all values in the column are therefore suppressed.

Please see the file "16232411SCH301 Transformation Summary.csv" for a catalog of all transformations applied to the dataset.

3 Conclusions

The re-identification risk of the Janssen 16232411SCH301 clinical trial database, after the anonymization as described in this report, is below the data risk threshold given the assumed level of mitigating controls and motives and capacity in the context of the data sharing environment.

References

- [1] Khaled El Emam. *Guide to the De-Identification of Personal Health Information*. CRC Press (Auerbach), 2013.
- [2] International Standards Organization. ISO/IEC 27559:2022: Information security, cybersecurity and privacy protection – Privacy enhancing data de-identification framework. Technical report, ISO, 2022.
- [3] PhUSE De-Identification Working Group. De-Identification Standards for CDISC SDTM 3.2. Technical report, 2015.
- [4] Pierangela Samarati. Protecting Respondents' Identities in Microdata Release. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 13(6):1010–1027, 2001.
- [5] L. Sweeney. k-Anonymity: A Model for Protecting Privacy. *International Journal on Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-based Systems*, 10(5):557–570, 2002.

A Definitions

A.1 Acronyms

FPE Format-Preserving Encryption

PHUSE Pharmaceutical Users Software Exchange

SDTM Study Data Tabulation Model

A.2 Identifiers

It is useful to differentiate among the different types of variables in a disclosed data set or document. The way the variables are handled during the risk measurement and anonymization process will depend on how they are categorized.

A distinction is made among three types of variables [4, 5]:

Directly identifying variables. One or more direct identifiers can be used to uniquely identify an individual, either by themselves or in combination with other readily available information. In clinical trial data sets and documents, the only patient direct identifier will likely be the subject ID. There will be direct identifiers pertaining to staff and investigators; however, these are treated differently than patient information.

Indirectly identifying variables. The indirect identifiers are attributes that, together with other attributes that can be in the dataset or external to it, enable unique identification of a data subject within a specific operational context.

Examples of indirect identifiers include sex, date of birth or age, locations (such as postal codes, census geography, information about proximity to known or unique landmarks), language spoken at home, ethnic origin, aboriginal identity, total years of schooling, marital status, criminal history, total income, visible minority status, event dates (such as admission, discharge, procedure, death, specimen collection, visit/encounter), codes (such as diagnosis codes, procedure codes, and adverse event codes), country of birth, birth weight, and birth plurality.

Other variables. These are the variables that are not really useful for determining an individual's identity. They may be clinically relevant or not.

A.3 Glossary

data recipient The data recipient is the researcher who accesses the anonymized data to perform an analysis.

Privacy and Security Context Assessment A questionnaire that evaluates the privacy and security controls in place for a data recipient.

Recipient Trust Context Assessment A questionnaire that evaluates the motives, capacity, and contracts in place with regard to data recipient performing a re-identification attack.

B Datasets Delivered in 16232411SCH301

Dataset	Number of Rows
AE	2220
AEWHO	2214
AIMS	55296
BARS	18432
CGI	5687
CHEM	62804
CODELIST	728
COMMENTS	5220
CONMED	7374
DEMOG	628
DIAGNOS	625
DIAHIST	5625
DISPOSIT	1166
DNRSLT	2922
ECG	98700
ENROLL	19866
EXPOSURE	2206
FAMHIST	646
HABIT	625
HEMAT	25258
HOSPITAL	599
INVEST	48
MEDHIST	7464
MEDKIT	1987
PANSS	189270
PCCNC	465
PE	10671

Dataset	Number of Rows
PROTDESC	1
PROTDEV	150
PSP	1749
PSYHIST	1422
RANDOM	207
RECUR	170
SARS	46080
SQLSR4	76545
SURGERY	624
URINE	35908
VAS	4580
VISIT	8903
VITAL	17501

Table 1: List tables considered and the number of rows in each.