The YODA Project Research Proposal Due Diligence Assessment

| Part 1: General Information | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------|--|
| YODA Projec | t (Protocol) ID: | 2016-0979 | | |
| Date: | Date: 10 June 2016 | | | |
| Product Nam | Product Name: Abiraterone acetate | | | |
| Therapeutic Area: Oncology | | | | |
| Product Class: CYP17 inhibitor | | | | |
| Condition(s) | Condition(s) Studied: Prostatic Neoplasms | | | |
| | col Number(s) and NCT00638690- A Phase 3, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo- | | | |
| Title(s): | , , | Controlled Study of Abiraterone Acetate (CB7630) Plus Prednisone in | | |
| | Patients With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Who | | | |
| | Have Failed Docetaxel-Based Chemotherapy | | | |
| Part 2: Data Availability | | | | |
| | | Question: | Response: | |
| Data Holder has authority to provide clinical trial data or development | | | Yes | |
| partner has agreed to share clinical trial data. | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| Data Holder has shareable electronic clinical trial data or data can be | | | Yes | |
| converted to electronic format. Comments: | | | | |
| De-identification and redaction of clinical trial data in accordance with current | | | Yes | |
| HIPAA and EU criteria allows protection of participant privacy and | | | | |
| confidentiality. | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| The product and relevant indication studied has either been approved by regulators in the US and EU, or terminated from development. | | | Yes | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| Data Holder has completed the clinical trial and trial has been completed for a | | | Yes | |
| period of at least 18 months (or results published in peer-reviewed | | | | |
| biomedical literature). | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| Part 3: Data Availability Summary | | | | |
| Based on the responses to the above Data Availability questions, the | | | Yes | |
| requested clinical trial data can be made available for data sharing. | | | | |
| Part 4: Proposal Review | | | | |
| Question: | | | Response: | |
| Summary-level CSR data is appropriate for the proposed analysis. | | | No | |
| Participant-level data is appropriate for the proposed analysis. | | | Yes | |
| A similar analysis is underway or completed/pending disclosure by Janssen. Yes | | | | |
| Comments: We have a prognostic model published based on 301 for OS (not PFS), which was also externally validated. This was published in the Annals of Oncology (Chi et al Annals of | | | | |
| Oncology 27: 454–460,2016). | | | | |
| | | | | |