

**The YODA Project  
Research Proposal Review**

The following page contains the final YODA Project review  
approving this proposal.

**The YODA Project**  
**Research Proposal Review - Final**  
**(Protocol #: 2021-4698 )**

**Reviewers:**

- Nihar Desai
- Cary Gross
- Harlan Krumholz
- Richard Lehman
- Joseph Ross

**Review Questions:**

**Decision:**

- |   |                            |
|---|----------------------------|
| 1. Is the scientific purpose of the research proposal clearly described?  | Yes                        |
| 2. Will request create or materially enhance generalizable scientific and/or medical knowledge to inform science and public health? | Yes                        |
| 3. Can the proposed research be reasonably addressed using the requested data?  | Yes, or it's highly likely |
| 4. Recommendation for this data request:  | Approve                    |

**Comments:**

No additional comments.

**The YODA Project  
Research Proposal Review**

Revisions were requested during review of this proposal.  
The following pages contain the original YODA Project review and  
the original submitted proposal.

**The YODA Project**  
**Research Proposal Review - Revisions Requested**  
**(Protocol #: 2021-4698 )**

**Reviewers:**

- Nihar Desai
- Cary Gross
- Harlan Krumholz
- Richard Lehman
- Joseph Ross

**Review Questions:**

**Decision:**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1. Is the scientific purpose of the research proposal clearly described?  | Yes  |
| 2. Will request create or materially enhance generalizable scientific and/or medical knowledge to inform science and public health? | Yes  |
| 3. Can the proposed research be reasonably addressed using the requested data?  | Unsure, further clarification from requestor is needed |
| 4. Recommendation for this data request:  | Not Approve  |

**Comments:**

The analytic plan suggests that this is a meta-analysis of aggregate data (including study results gleaned from the literature, as well as the requested studies available via YODA). Yet the investigators appear to be requesting individual patient data. If that is the case, how will the IPD be used?

Four of the 5 requested trials are consistent with the proposed research (studying patients with ulcerative colitis). The rationale for including the 5th study (Ustekinumab for patients with Crohn's Disease) is unclear. Please confirm trial data for NCT01369355 (CNTO1275CRD3003) is needed and provide clarification for inclusion in this analysis.

## Principal Investigator

**First Name:** Juan  
**Last Name:** Lasa  
**Degree:** MD  
**Primary Affiliation:** Hospital Britanico  
**E-mail:** [drjuanslasa@gmail.com](mailto:drjuanslasa@gmail.com)  
**Phone number:** (5411)40848182  
**Address:** 74 Perdriel Street, Buenos Aires

**City:** Buenos Aires  
**State or Province:** Buenos Aires  
**Zip or Postal Code:** 1012  
**Country:** Argentina

## General Information

### Key Personnel (in addition to PI):

**First Name:** Pablo  
**Last name:** Olivera  
**Degree:** MD  
**Primary Affiliation:** CEMIC

**First Name:** Ignacio  
**Last name:** Zubiaurre  
**Degree:** MD  
**Primary Affiliation:** Hospital Británico

**Are external grants or funds being used to support this research?:** No external grants or funds are being used to support this research.

**How did you learn about the YODA Project?:** Colleague

## Conflict of Interest

[https://yoda.yale.edu/system/files/yale\\_university\\_open\\_data\\_access\\_yoda\\_project.pdf](https://yoda.yale.edu/system/files/yale_university_open_data_access_yoda_project.pdf)

## Certification

**Certification:** All information is complete; I (PI) am responsible for the research; data will not be used to support litigious/commercial aims.

**Data Use Agreement Training:** As the Principal Investigator of this study, I certify that I have completed the YODA Project Data Use Agreement Training

1. [NCT00036439 - C0168T37 - A Randomized, Placebo-controlled, Double-blind Trial to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Infliximab in Patients With Active Ulcerative Colitis](#)
2. [NCT00096655 - C0168T46 - A Randomized, Placebo-controlled, Double-blind Trial to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Infliximab in Patients With Active Ulcerative Colitis](#)
3. [NCT00487539 - C0524T17 - A Phase 2/3 Multicenter, Randomized, Placebo-controlled, Double blind Study to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Golimumab Induction Therapy, Administered Subcutaneously, in Subjects with Moderately to Severely Active Ulcerative Colitis](#)
4. [NCT01369355 - CNTO1275CRD3003 - A Phase 3, Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled, Parallel-](#)

[group. Multicenter Study to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Ustekinumab Maintenance Therapy in Subjects With Moderately to Severely Active Crohn's Disease](#)

5. [NCT01863771 - CNTO148UCO3001 - A Safety and Effectiveness Study of Golimumab in Japanese Patients With Moderately to Severely Active Ulcerative Colitis](#)

**What type of data are you looking for?:** Individual Participant-Level Data, which includes Full CSR and all supporting documentation

## Research Proposal

### Project Title

Early clinical response to biologics and small molecules for moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis: a network meta-analysis

### Narrative Summary:

To describe and compare the clinical response at two weeks after the initiation of induction therapy with FDA-approved biologics and/or small molecules on moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis patients. This comparison would provide useful information on how fast clinical response is observed upon the initiation of different approved therapeutic alternatives for this condition and also would help to determine which of the approved medical treatments for moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis would exhibit a more meaningful clinical effect at an early point during induction therapy.

### Scientific Abstract:

**BACKGROUND:** Rapid response to medications in the setting of moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis has been associated with improved quality of life. There is evidence reported on the rapid induction of clinical improvement during the first 2 weeks of induction therapy with FDA-approved biologics and small molecules. However, indirect comparisons are still lacking

**OBJECTIVE:** To describe the changes in stool frequency and rectal bleeding scores at week 2 of induction treatment with approved biologics and small molecules and perform an indirect comparison of them

**STUDY DESIGN:** A systematic review with network meta-analysis will be performed.

**PARTICIPANTS:** Adult patients with moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis. Phase 3 clinical trials comparing an FDA-approved biologic and/or small molecule versus placebo will be included.

**OUTCOME MEASURES:** Post hoc analyses will be searched to determine the mean change of both stool frequency and rectal bleeding scores at week 2 of induction therapy versus placebo.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:** Network meta-analysis will be performed using a frequentist approach. SUCRA values will be estimated for indirect comparison.

### Brief Project Background and Statement of Project Significance:

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic immune-mediated condition that is associated with a significant burden in terms of morbidity(1). A significant proportion of patients with moderate-to-severe UC will need treatment with biologics and/or small molecules, such as tofacitinib (2).

Even though anti-TNF agents such as infliximab or adalimumab have made a profound change in the natural history of UC (3,4), a non-neglectable proportion of patients would have a primary failure to anti-TNF (5). This led to the development of alternatives with different therapeutic targets, such as vedolizumab (6), or ustekinumab (7). In addition, small molecules such as Janus kinase inhibitors are being developed. Recently, tofacitinib was approved by the Federal Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of moderate-to-severe UC patients (8).

There is a need for clinical predictors of early response to the abovementioned medications (9). Prediction of the initial response would help to avoid unnecessary treatments. Additionally, rapid response to biologics and small molecules has been associated with improved quality of life (10). As a consequence, post hoc analyses from phase 3 trials assessing the efficacy of infliximab, golimumab (11), adalimumab (12), vedolizumab (13) and tofacitinib (14) have been published. Most of these post hoc analyses have focused on the change from baseline of patient-reported items of partial mayo score - that is, stool frequency and rectal bleeding scores. Most of them have shown

that as early as two weeks after the first dose of the aforementioned drugs, an improvement in terms of stool frequency and rectal bleeding versus placebo was observed.

To our knowledge, there is no evidence of which of the FDA-approved treatments for moderate-to-severe UC would be associated with a higher proportion of early clinical response. An indirect comparison through network meta-analysis of post hoc analyses of phase 3 trials could suggest which alternative induces a more rapid clinical response. This information could be very valuable for the initiation of treatment of very symptomatic UC patients.

### **Specific Aims of the Project:**

- 1) To describe the mean change from baseline of both stool frequency score and rectal bleeding score at 2 weeks from biologic/small molecule induction treatment initiation
- 2) To make an indirect comparison of the mean change from baseline of both stool frequency score and rectal bleeding score at 2 weeks from FDA-approved biologics and small molecules

### **What is the purpose of the analysis being proposed? Please select all that apply.**

Summary-level data meta-analysis

Summary-level data meta-analysis pooling data from YODA Project with other additional data sources

Research on clinical prediction or risk prediction

## **Research Methods**

### **Data Source and Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria to be used to define the patient sample for your study:**

#### Eligibility criteria

Type of studies to be included: randomized controlled studies. We will consider all articles irrespective of publication type. Hence, articles published as short reports or conference abstracts will not be excluded. In the case of multiple studies involving the same population, data from the most recent or most comprehensive one will be included.

Population: adult patients (>90% of subjects over the age of 18 years) with moderate-to-severe UC as defined by the Mayo Score.

Intervention: We will focus on previously approved biologics and small molecules for the treatment of UC in their approved dosages: infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab, vedolizumab, ustekinumab and tofacitinib.

Comparator: Placebo.

DATA SOURCE: We will look for other phase 3 studies assessing the efficacy of vedolizumab, tofacitinib and adalimumab on the same population. Published manuscripts of these drugs will be reviewed, as well as their corresponding supplementary materials. If required data is missing, we will contact main authors to request the necessary information.

### **Main Outcome Measure and how it will be categorized/defined for your study:**

Primary outcome is a composite of two items:

- Mean change from baseline of stool frequency score 2 weeks after initiation of induction treatment
- Mean change from baseline of rectal bleeding score 2 weeks after initiation of induction treatment

Secondary outcomes are the following:

- a) Mean change from baseline of stool frequency score and rectal bleeding score 4 weeks after initiation of induction treatment
- b) Mean change from baseline of stool frequency score and rectal bleeding score 6 weeks after initiation of induction treatment

### **Main Predictor/Independent Variable and how it will be categorized/defined for your study:**

- 1) Mean change from baseline of stool frequency score (a subitem of the partial Mayo score) at week 2 = this is defined as the difference between mean stool frequency score at baseline and mean stool frequency score at week 2 after first dose of induction treatment
- 2) Mean change from baseline rectal bleeding score (a subitem of the partial Mayo score) at week 2 = this is defined as the difference between mean rectal bleeding score at baseline and mean rectal bleeding score at week 2 after first dose of induction treatment

## Statistical Analysis Plan:

### Information sources

Published studies will be identified using MEDLINE, EMBASE and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) from January 1 1990 until May 22 2021. Major congresses databases (European Crohn's and Colitis Organization, Digestive Disease Week, and United European Gastroenterology Week in the period 2018-2021) will also be reviewed manually.

### Search strategy

Search algorithms will include the following terms: ["biologics" OR "anti-TNF" OR "infliximab" OR "adalimumab" OR ("golimumab" OR "CNTO-148") OR "anti-integrin" OR ("vedolizumab" OR "MLN-0002") OR ("ustekinumab" OR "CNTO-1275") OR "JAK inhibitor" OR ("tofacitinib" OR "CP-690550") AND ["efficacy" OR "safety" OR "adverse events" OR "early response"].

### Selection process

Two authors will independently review titles/abstracts of studies identified in the search, and exclude those that are clearly irrelevant. The full text of the selected articles will be read to determine whether it contains information on the topic of interest. Their reference lists (and those of relevant systematic reviews and meta-analyses) will be hand-searched to identify further relevant publications.

### Data extraction

The following information from each study will be abstracted into a specially designed data extraction form: citation data, first author's last name, study design, number of patients, study duration, population characteristics (age, sex, disease duration, prior biologic use), exposure definition (drug, dose, duration), and reported outcomes. Any differences in data extraction will be settled by consensus, referring back to the original article.

The following outcomes will be analyzed: mean change in both stool frequency and rectal bleeding scores at week 2 of induction therapy.

### Risk-of-bias in individual studies

Two authors will independently assess the risk-of-bias in included studies. The Cochrane Risk of Bias tool will be used. Disagreements between the review authors over the risk of bias in particular studies will be resolved by discussion, with involvement of a third review author.

### Data synthesis and statistical analysis

A descriptive qualitative analysis of the efficacy and safety of the aforementioned drugs will be presented. Meta-analysis as well as network meta-analysis comparing biologics and small molecules for moderate-to-severe UC will be performed and described. Pooled odds ratios (OR) and 95% CIs will be calculated using the Mantel-Haenszel fixed-effects model with sensitivity analysis using the DerSimonian-Liard random-effects model. We will assess statistical heterogeneity using the I<sup>2</sup> statistic, with values greater than 50% suggesting substantial heterogeneity. Publication bias will be assessed by evaluating small study effects by examining funnel plot asymmetry. First of all we will conduct a meta-analysis using R software. We will then conduct a network meta-analysis using a consistency model of multivariate, random-effects meta-regression as described by White et al (15) using R. This frequentist approach provides a point estimate from the network along with 95% CIs from the frequency distribution of the estimate.

We will calculate the relative ranking of agents for induction of clinical remission as their surface under the cumulative ranking (SUCRA), which represents the percentage of efficacy or safety achieved by an agent compared with an imaginary agent that is always the best without uncertainty (ie, SUCRA  $\frac{1}{4}$  100%).

### Software Used:

R

### Project Timeline:

Data collection: May 2021

Data analysis: June-July 2021

Draft elaboration with results: August 2021

Results Report to YODA: September 2021

Manuscript publication: September 2021



## Dissemination Plan:

We intend to publish the results of our network meta-analysis in a scientific journal which would be indexed in the National Library of Medicine. We also intend to show our results in a major gastroenterology congress, such as the 2022 Digestive Disease Week.

## Bibliography:

- 1) Ungaro R, Mehandru S, Allen PB, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Colombel J-F. Ulcerative colitis. *Lancet* [Internet]. 2017 Apr 30;389(10080):1756–70. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0140673616321262>
- 2) Olivera P, Danese S, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Next generation of small molecules in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut* [Internet]. 2017 Feb;66(2):199–209. Available from: <http://gut.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312912>
- 3) Cohen RD, Yu AP, Wu EQ, Xie J, Mulani PM, Chao J. Systematic review: the costs of ulcerative colitis in Western countries. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* [Internet]. 2010 Apr;31(7):693–707. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20064142>
- 4) Paschos P, Katsoula A, Salanti G, Giouleme O, Athanasiadou E, Tsapas A. Systematic review with network meta-analysis: the impact of medical interventions for moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis on health-related quality of life. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* [Internet]. 2018;48(11–12):1174–85. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30378141>
- 5) Danese S, Fiorino G, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Lucenteforte E, Virgili G, Moja L, et al. Biological agents for moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* [Internet]. 2014 May 20;160(10):704–11. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24842416>
- 6) Feagan BG, Rutgeerts P, Sands BE, Hanauer S, Colombel J-F, Sandborn WJ, et al. Vedolizumab as Induction and Maintenance Therapy for Ulcerative Colitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(8):699–710.
- 7) Sands BE, Sandborn WJ, Panaccione R, O'Brien CD, Zhang H, Johans J, et al. Ustekinumab as Induction and Maintenance Therapy for Ulcerative Colitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(13):1201–14.
- 8) Sandborn WJ, Su C, Sands BE, D'Haens GR, Vermeire S, Schreiber S, et al. Tofacitinib as Induction and Maintenance Therapy for Ulcerative Colitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376(18):1723–36.
- 9) Peyrin-Biroulet L, Van Assche G, Sturm A, et al. Treatment satisfaction, preferences and perception gaps between patients and physicians in the ulcerative colitis CARES study: A real world-based study. *Dig Liver Dis* 2016;48:601–607.
- 10) Gray JR, Leung E, Scales J. Treatment of ulcerative colitis from the patient's perspective: a survey of preferences and satisfaction with therapy. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2009;29:1114–1120.
- 11) Singh S, Proudfoot JA, Dulai PS et al. Efficacy and speed of induction of remission of infliximab vs golimumab for patients with ulcerative colitis, based on data from clinical trials. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020;18(2):424-431
- 12) Hanauer S, Sandborn WJ, Colombel JF et al. Rapid changes in laboratory parameters and early response to adalimumab: a pooled analysis from patients with ulcerative colitis in two clinical trials. *J Crohns Colitis* 2019, 1227-1233
- 13) Feagan BG, Lasch K, Lissos T et al. Rapid response to vedolizumab therapy in biologic-naive patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019;17:130-138
- 14) Hanauer S, Panaccione R, Danese S et al. Tofacitinib induction therapy reduces symptoms within 3 days for patients with ulcerative colitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019;17:139-147
- 15) White IR, Barrett JK, Jackson D, et al. Consistency and inconsistency in network meta-analysis: model estimation using multivariate meta-regression. *Res Synth Methods* 2012; 3:111–125.

## Supplementary Material:

[https://yoda.yale.edu/sites/default/files/project\\_.docx](https://yoda.yale.edu/sites/default/files/project_.docx)

[https://yoda.yale.edu/sites/default/files/yale\\_university\\_open\\_data\\_access\\_yoda\\_project.pdf](https://yoda.yale.edu/sites/default/files/yale_university_open_data_access_yoda_project.pdf)

[https://yoda.yale.edu/sites/default/files/yale\\_university\\_open\\_data\\_access\\_yoda\\_project\\_iz.pdf](https://yoda.yale.edu/sites/default/files/yale_university_open_data_access_yoda_project_iz.pdf)

[https://yoda.yale.edu/sites/default/files/yoda\\_project\\_coi\\_form\\_for\\_data\\_requestors\\_po.pdf](https://yoda.yale.edu/sites/default/files/yoda_project_coi_form_for_data_requestors_po.pdf)